

Adrenal Oligometastases Secondary to Non-small Cell Lung Cancer—What is the Optimal Treatment Approach?

Bryce Thomsen¹ and Alysa Fairchild²

1. Faculty of Medicine, University of Alberta, Edmonton, AB, Canada; 2. Department of Radiation Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, Edmonton, AB, Canada

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.17925/OHR.2017.13.02.117>

Background: Five-year overall survival (OS) for patients with stage IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a dismal 1%. However, approximately 7% have limited or solitary metastases, including to the adrenal gland. Radical treatment of these oligometastases (OM) could increase local control and improve OS. Our objective was to critically analyze data describing aggressive treatment of adrenal OM secondary to NSCLC. **Methods:** A literature search examining English publications describing surgery or radiotherapy (RT) was performed, supplemented by searching reference lists. Case reports of three or fewer patients, and articles from which NSCLC- or adrenal-specific clinical outcomes could not be abstracted, were excluded. **Results:** Twenty-nine studies met eligibility criteria (521 patients), 26 retrospective. No publications directly compare modalities. Four surgery studies described contemporaneous patients treated with palliative chemotherapy (CH) alone. Reported median OS ranged from 9.5–64 months after adrenalectomy, 8–23 months after RT, and 6–8.5 months after CH. Local failure after surgery was 14%, with response rates after RT 57–75%. Both appear well-tolerated. **Conclusions:** In patients with an adrenal OM secondary to NSCLC, aggressive treatment should be considered. However, due to the paucity of high quality evidence, it is unclear at present whether this approach alters the natural history of the disease.

Keywords

Oligometastasis, adrenal, non-small cell lung cancer, stereotactic body radiotherapy, adrenalectomy, laparoscopic

Disclosure: Bryce Thomsen and Alysa Fairchild have nothing to declare in relation to this article. No funding was received for the publication of this article.

Compliance with Ethics: This study involves a review of the literature and did not involve any studies with human or animal subjects performed by any of the authors.

Authorship: All named authors meet the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE) criteria for authorship of this manuscript, take responsibility for the integrity of the work as a whole, and have given final approval to the version to be published.

Open Access: This article is published under the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial License, which permits any noncommercial use, distribution, adaptation, and reproduction provided the original author(s) and source are given appropriate credit.

Received: August 31, 2017

Accepted: October 30, 2017

Citation: *Oncology & Hematology Review*, 2017;13(2):117–29

Corresponding Author: Alysa Fairchild, Department of Radiation Oncology, Cross Cancer Institute, 11560 University Avenue, Edmonton, AB, Canada, T6G 1Z2. E: alysa.fairchild@albertahealthservices.ca

Lung cancer is the most common non-cutaneous cancer globally, and the foremost cause of cancer mortality.¹ Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) accounts for 80–85% of all lung cancer.² Forty to fifty percent of patients diagnosed with NSCLC have disease which is incurable at presentation, due to pleural or pericardial effusion, or metastatic (distant) spread;^{2,3} the latter is classified as stage IV. The treatment for widely metastatic stage IV NSCLC is typically palliative-intent systemic therapy and/or radiotherapy (RT), with goals of increasing survival, improving quality of life (QOL), and controlling symptoms. Five-year overall survival (OS) for stage IV NSCLC is 1%.⁴

Just over 20 years ago, Hellman and Weichselbaum coined the term ‘oligometastases’ (OM), describing a transitional state of metastatic disease burden, intermediate between relatively localized, and widely distributed.⁵ The exact definition of OM continues to be debated, frequently comprising five or fewer lesions outside of the primary tumor and regional lymph nodes (LNs),⁶ often additionally limited to one or two anatomic subsites. Approximately 7% of patients with metastatic NSCLC, in fact, have a solitary metastasis.⁷ Reported 5-year OS for oligometastatic NSCLC ranges from 14–48%, depending on site(s) of OM and intrathoracic stage.⁸

Ablative treatment, if delivered to sites of OM before the disease acquires the genetic alterations which confer widespread metastatic potential, could theoretically: increase the interval over which the disease is controlled; decrease metastatic load and subsequent seeding of additional locations; delay progression, at least locally; postpone initiation or switch of systemic therapy, avoiding the resulting toxicity, cost and detrimental impact on QOL; and possibly increase OS.^{5,8–10} This scenario may be especially relevant to epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) mutant, or anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) rearrangement patients who experience oligoprogression during therapy with a targeted agent, in whom radical local therapy may allow continuation of the same drug.¹¹

The adrenal gland is one of the common sites of metastases from NSCLC, with 30–60% harboring such lesions at autopsy.³ Four to ten percent of patients with otherwise operable NSCLC will have a unilateral adrenal mass;^{12–17} up to half of these are malignant (0.4–3.5%).^{18–22} In this highly selected

subset of patients, there may be a window when local therapy to all known metastases, including an adrenal metastasis (AM), confers benefits over a palliative approach.^{10,23} The objective of this review was to analyze the literature describing aggressive treatment of AM (solitary or as a component of an oligometastatic presentation) secondary to NSCLC.

Methods

A literature search was undertaken including keywords “non-small cell lung cancer”, “treatment”, “radiotherapy”, “surgery”, “neoplasm metastasis/” or “oligometastases”, and “adrenal” (*Appendix 1*). The search was limited to English language publications (1997–2017), and pathologic confirmation of NSCLC primary was preferred. Studies which included adrenal OM from different primary sites and/or OM from different locations were included if outcomes relevant to our objective could be extracted. Those comparing the results of aggressive local treatment to palliative treatment were eligible. Articles were excluded if no NSCLC or adrenal OM-specific clinical outcomes were described, or case reports describing fewer than four patients, to minimize publication and reporting bias (*Appendix 2*).

Results

Search results

Eighty-four articles initially identified underwent evaluation and review of reference lists for further potential inclusions. Twenty-nine reporting treatment outcomes after either surgical resection (n=23, published 1990–2015, 464 patients) or RT (n=6, published 2008–2014, 57 patients) met eligibility criteria. Surgery studies included one phase II single arm trial and 22 retrospective reviews (two multicenter; *Tables 1–3*). RT studies included one phase II single arm, four retrospective reviews, and one not specified (*Tables 4–5*). There are no published studies directly comparing these two modalities, but four compared outcomes after surgery to contemporaneous cohorts treated with palliative chemotherapy. Average sample size was 20.2 (range 4–148) for surgery series, and 9.3 (range 4–18) for RT publications.

Surgical resection

The mean age for patients undergoing adrenalectomy ranged from 43.3–67.5 years (*Table 1*). With one exception, series were predominantly male. Twelve of the 23 studies required the AM to be the only site of distant spread, while three others allowed extra-adrenal disease if it could also be approached radically, such as resection +/- postoperative RT for brain metastases. Many groups did not specify intrathoracic T stage, and nodal stage varied: some groups excluded LN positive patients altogether,^{20,24} while the proportion of N2 disease in series where it was permitted varied from 14.3–70.8%.^{21,25–26} Pathologic confirmation of AM was more commonly performed in early publications, while positron emission tomography (PET) staging became routine later. Mean/median AM sizes ranged from 3.5–8.4 cm, with the largest reported resected 18 cm. Where data were available, on average 49% arose from the right, and 50% from the side ipsilateral to the primary. An average of 64.2% patients presented with synchronous AM, most commonly defined as discovered within 6 months of NSCLC diagnosis.

Five studies described open adrenalectomies, five described laparoscopic, five reviewed patients undergoing both, and the remainder did not specify (*Table 1*). Regional lymphadenectomy was not routine, except when extraglandular extension was seen.²⁷ Sequencing of surgical resection of the primary and AM varied for synchronous presentations. In Mercier et al.’s series, all patients had the primary removed before adrenalectomy,²⁶

while Bretcha-Boix et al. performed adrenalectomy before lung resection in two-thirds of cases.²⁴ In Porte et al., 12 of the 22 patients (54.5%) were resected simultaneously at the time of lung resection, six of the 22 (27.3%) after lung resection, and four of 22 (18.2%) before.²⁷

Nine studies described receipt of neoadjuvant or adjuvant systemic therapy (or both), while a crude average of 16.1% of patients (range 9.1–20%; n=5 studies) received adjuvant adrenal bed RT. For example, in Mercier’s series, while ‘no particular criteria’ were used by which to recommend adjuvant treatment, nine out of 23 patients - all with negative surgical margins - received a mean of 45 Gy postoperative RT.²⁶

Median survival

MS after surgical resection ranged from 9.5–63 months (n=16 studies), and median disease-free survival ranged from 4–35 months (n=8; *Table 2*). Reported 1-year OS ranged from 63.5–91% (n=3), 2-year OS 29–69% (n=3), 3-year OS 14–52% (n=7), and 5-year OS 23–45% (n=6). Plönes et al. found significantly different median survival (MS) for NSCLC patients by location of OM: 23.4 months for soft tissue metastases, 16.7 months for brain, 9.5 months for AM, and 4.3 months for bone metastases (p<0.005).²⁸ Local relapse (LR) occurred on average in 14% (n=8; range 0–25%), with one additional study describing no LR after R0/R1 resections, but 11% local progression after an R2 resection.²⁹ LRs have been associated with capsular invasion²⁶ and intraoperative tumor rupture,³⁰ but not particularly impacted by postoperative RT.²⁶ Surgical complications and postoperative mortality are uncommonly described (*Table 2*). *Table 3* outlines statistically significant prognostic factors for OS and disease-free survival abstracted from surgical series.

Strong’s institutional series—the only one comparing open (n=63) to laparoscopic (n=31) procedures—found no difference in OS, incidence of microscopically positive margins (average 25.5%) or local recurrence (18% overall).³¹

Surgery versus palliative chemotherapy

In the four studies comparing (predominantly open) adrenalectomy to palliative chemotherapy, treatment decisions were made according to patient/physician preference, often taking into account intrathoracic stage and performance status (PS). Surgery patients tended to be younger, again predominantly male, with smaller AM than those who did not have surgery. Raz et al.’s surgery patients additionally tended to have a better PS, less mediastinal LN involvement (approximately 60% versus 90%) and more metachronous lesions (100% versus 40%).³² MS for patients treated surgically (n=3 studies) was 19 months, 31 months, and 31 months, compared to 6 months, 8.5 months, and 13 months, respectively, after non-surgical treatment. In Luketich et al., 3-year OS was 38% (surgery) versus 0% (non-surgery),³ and in Raz et al., 5-year OS was 34% (surgery) versus 0% (surgery).³² Higashiyama’s publication had two long term survivors (>24 months) after surgery, compared to a mean survival of 3.5 months (n=4 patients) after palliative non-surgical therapy.³³

Radiation therapy

The proximity of the adrenals to radiosensitive structures such as the kidney, stomach, intestine, and spinal cord, historically limited the use of RT in this area due to the risk of normal tissue toxicity.³⁴ However, stereotactic body radiation therapy (SBRT) uses multiple, exceedingly precise, external beams delivering a limited number of high dose treatments, utilizing stereotactic fiducial markers and image guidance to improve accuracy.^{34–36} Large daily

Table 1: Patient characteristics—surgery

Ref	Age	% Male	% Biopsy proven	Size	Right: left	Ipsilateral: contra	Imaging	Synch: metac (DFI)	Adrenal as solitary metastasis?
18 (2015)	Mean 64 yrs	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT; MRI; PET routinely from 2008	NR	20/37 had no other mets or recurrence of the primary; those who had mets had OM**
28 (2015)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT +/- PET	All synch\$	NR
30 (2015)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT; PET	NR	All solitary
73 (2013)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Complete resection of other mets permitted
77 (2013)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT; MRI; +/- PET	NR	All solitary
78 (2013)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	Extra-adrenal disease permitted
79 (2012)	NR	NR	Pathologic conf of at least 1 met required	NR	NR	NR	CT; PET	4 synch	Less than 5 mets permitted/pt
80 (2012)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR
67 (2012)	NR	NR	NR	Median NR (range NR—18 cm)	NR	NR	NR	NR	Extra-adrenal metastases permitted if radically treated
32 (2011)	Mean 56 yrs (surg); 66 yrs (no surg) ^a	65.0% (surg); [64.7% (no surg)]	NR	Mean 4.4 cm (surg); 3.7 cm (no surg)	NR	7 Ipsi: 13 Contra	NR	12 synch [^] : 8 metac (surg); 17 metac (no surg) ^a	All solitary
81 (2010)	Mean 66.6 yrs	20.0%	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT, MRI, or PET	3 synch [^] : 2 metac (mean DFI 15.5 mos)	All solitary
31 (2007)	NR	NR	NR	Median 5.8 cm: 3.5 cm (lap) versus 6.5 cm (open)	NR	NR	NR	NR	>97% solitary
29 (2006)	NR	NR	NR	Mean 6 cm (range 1.5–11 cm)	NR	NR	CT; MRI; PET since 2003	7 synch [^] : 2 metac (mean DFI NS)	All solitary
26 (2005)	Mean 54 yrs	82.6%	NR	Median 5.8 cm (range 2–11cm)	NR	NR	CT; PET last 4 years of study	10 synch [^] : 13 metac (mean DFI 18.2 mos)	All solitary
25 (2005)	Median 59 yrs	63.6%	0%	N/A	5R: 6L	4 Ipsi: 7 Contra	CT; MRI; 9.1% PET	5 synch [^] : 6 metac (mean DFI 21.7 mos)	All solitary
19 (2001)	Mean 60.4 yrs	100%	100%	Mean 7 cm (range 5–10cm)	3R:2L	3 Ipsi: 2 Contra	CT only	5 synch	NR
27 (2001)	Mean 52 yrs	88.4%	100%	Mean 5.6 cm (range 2–12.5cm)	NR	31 Ipsi: 12 Contra	CT only	22 synch: 21 metac* (mean DFS NR)	All solitary
60 (2001)	Mean 57.2 yrs	100%	NR	Mean 4.9 cm (range 3.5–6 cm)	4R:1L	NR	NR	1 synch, rest NS	NS
24 (2000)	Mean 50.8 yrs	100%	50%	NR	2R:4L	4 Ipsi: 2 Contra	CT only	3 synch: 2 metac (mean DFI 22.5 mos)	2/5 additional synch brain mets treated radically
20 (1998)	Mean 67.5 yrs	100%	100%	Mean 3.6 cm (range 2.8–4.7 cm)	1R: 3L	NR	CT only	1 synch: 3 metac (mean DFI 13.7 mos)	All solitary
3 (1996)	Median 52 yrs (surg); 55 yrs (chemo)	75.0% (surg); [83.3% (no surg)]	100%	Median 3.6 cm (range 2–7 cm) (surg); 3.5 cm (range 2.1–7.1 cm) (chemo)	NR	NR	NR	All synch	All solitary

Table 1: Patient characteristics—surgery

Ref	Age	% Male	% Biopsy proven	Size	Right: left	Ipsilateral: contra	Imaging	Synch: metac (DFI)	Adrenal as solitary metastasis?
33 (1994)	Mean 53.8 yrs (surg): 61 yrs (no surg)	100%	NR	Mean 8.4 cm (range 5–13 cm; surg); 5.3 cm (range 2–10 cm; no surg)	2R:3L	2 Ipsi: 3 Contra	CT only	1 synch: 4 metac (mean DFI 11.5mos)	All solitary
53 (1990)	Mean 43.3 yrs	75.0%	3 biopsies—all negative	Mean 6.7 cm (range 6–7 cm)	3R:2L	2 Ipsi: 3 Contra	CT only	All synch	All solitary

*Metastases known to be absent at the time of lung resection were classified as metachronous. **Definition of OM not specified. \$Definition of synchronous not specified. ^Synchronous defined as within 6 months of primary diagnosis. αStatistically significantly different. Chemo = chemotherapy; conf = confirmation; contra = contralateral; CT = computed tomography; DFI = disease-free interval; metac = metachronous; mets = metastases; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NR = not reported; OM = oligometastases; pt = patient; ref = reference; surg = surgery; synch = synchronous; yrs = years.

Table 2: Outcomes after surgical resection

Ref	N	Surgical Approach	Additional Therapy	Local Recurrence and Follow-Up	MS (mos)	OS	Complications
18	18	Open	NR	NR	MS 31 mos (versus 13 mos for medical therapy [n=19]); median DFS 6 mos	3 yr OS 48.0% 5 yr OS 29.3%	NR
28	4	NR	NR	NR (Mean f/u 24.3 mos)	MS 9.5 mos	NR	25% healed by secondary intention
30	15	2 lap concurrent with primary resection; otherwise NR	NR	NR (Median f/u 49 mos)	MS 63 mos; Median DFS 35 mos	3 yr OS 48.4% 5 yr OS 44.9%	0 postop complications
73	31	Lap	NR	NR	MS 17 mos	1 yr OS 73% 3 yr OS 33% 5 yr OS 27%	NR
77	23	Lap	NR	NR	MS 13 mos	NR	0 postop mortality, major complications or port site recurrence
78	148 (30 centres)	Open + lap (% NR)	NR	NR	MS 26 mos	NR	NR
79	3#	NR	NR	0 local recurrences; 25% recurred in peritoneum, 50% recurred distantly	MS 10.2 mos (including 1 additional pt treated with 54 Gy/30 RT)	NR	NR
80	21	NR	NR	NR	MS 3.5 years	1 yr OS 91% 2 yr OS 69% 3 yr OS 52%	NR
67	15	Open + lap (% NR)	NR	NR	MS 12 mos	NR	NR
32	20*	95% open; 5% lap	60% received chemo at some point; 100% of pts treated non-operatively received chemo and 5.9% adrenal RT	NR	MS 19 mos (surgery) versus 6 mos (no surg); Median DFS 14 mos (surg)	5 yr OS 34% (surgery) versus 0% (no surg)	0 operative mortality or major complications
81	5	40% open: 60% lap (of which 1/3 required open conversion)	NR	NR 40% R0 resection (Mean f/u 11.8 mos)	Mean OS 12 mos Mean DFS 4 mos (range 0–13 mos)	NR	0 operative mortality or port site recurrence
31	39	53.8% open; 46.2% lap	NR	NR (Median f/u 69 mos; open 71 mos versus lap 18 mos)	MS 17 mos; open 18.1 mos versus 13.1 mos (p=NS) DFS 23%; open 19% versus lap 28% (p=NS)	Lap: 1 yr OS 58% 3 yr OS 49% 5 yr OS 27% Open: 1 yr OS 69% 3 yr OS 41% 5 yr OS 30%	Overall 18%; open 29% versus lap 6%; Overall microscopically + margin 31%; open 38% versus lap 22%

Table 2: Outcomes after surgical resection

Ref	N	Surgical Approach	Additional Therapy	Local Recurrence and Follow-Up	MS (mos)	OS	Complications
29	9	Lap (33.3% required open conversion)	NR	0% local relapse after R0/R1 resection; 11.0% after R2 resection (Mean f/u 27.6 mos)	Individual patient survivals:‡ 1 mos, 22 mos, 23 mos, 24 mos (died); 19 mos, 24 mos+, 66 mos+, 68 mos+ (alive)	NR	0 operative mortality or port site metastasis,
26	23	Open (17.4% required adjacent organ resection)	13.0% neoadjuvant platinum-based chemo; 13.0% adjuvant chemo alone; 13.0% adjuvant adrenal bed RT (mean 45 Gy); 26.1% both	21.7% recurrence in adrenal bed Of which 80% adrenal bed only, 20% adrenal bed + systemic (Mean f/u 26 mos)	MS 13.3 mos; Median DFS 8 mos	2 yr OS 37% 5 yr OS 23.3% 5 yr DFS 18%	0 operative mortality; 8.7% postoperative complications
25	11	Open (27.3% required adjacent organ resection; 18.2% vascular anastomosis)	54.5% adjuvant chemo; 9.1% adjuvant RT	9.1% recurrence in adrenal bed (Median f/u 31 mos)	MS 12.6 mos; Median DFS 8 mos	NR	0 operative mortality
19	5	Lap	80% adjuvant chemo	0 local recurrence (Mean f/u 64 mos)	NR	3/5 alive and disease free more than 5 yrs post op	0 operative mortality or major morbidity
27	43	Open (4.7% required nephrectomy for renal involvement)	7.0% with N2 received platinum-based neoadjuvant chemo (avg 2 cycles); 27.9% adjuvant chemo (avg 4 cycles); 37.2% with pN2 received adjuvant chest RT (avg 60 Gy); 14.0% received adjuvant adrenal bed RT (avg 60 Gy)	16.3% adrenal bed recurrence (Mean f/u 23.8 mos)	MS 11 mos; Mean 13 mos DFS (5.7 mos in metach versus 17.5 mos in synch; p=NS)	2 yr OS 29% 3 yr OS 14% 4 yr OS 11%	0 operative, 30-day mortality or postoperative morbidity; 2.3% late postoperative death\$
60	5	NR	NR	NR	Individual patient survivals: 18 mos, 36 mos (died); 3 mos+, 5 mos+, 16 mos+, (alive)	NR	0 mortality or complications
24	5 (6 lesions)	NR	NR	20% recurred in adrenal bed + untreated adrenal simultaneously (mean f/u NR)	Individual patient survivals: 38 mos (died); 8 mos+, 16 mos+, 52 mos+, 58 mos+ (alive)	NR	0 complications
20	4	Lap	4/4 adjuvant chemo (platinum, vinorelbine, MMC x 6)	25% local relapse (Mean f/u 11.3 mos)	Individual patient survivals: 9 mos and 18 mos (died); 8 mos+ (alive); 1 pt UNK	NR	0 complications
3	8**	NR	100% chemo^ x 2 -> resection; (additional 6 pts chemo x 3 only)	NR	MS 31 mos (chemo -> resection) versus MS 8.5 mos (chemo alone) ^a	3 yr OS 38% chemo -> resection group versus 0% chemo alone	0 treatment related deaths
33	5	Open (20% required splenectomy)	80% adjuvant chemo; 20% adrenal bed RT; non-surg arm: all pts had chemo, ¼ palliative RT	20% recurred in regional LN (Mean f/u NR)	Individual patient survivals (surg): 9 mos, 17 mos, 20 mos (died); 24 mos+, 40 mos+ (alive) Individual patient survivals (no surg): 2 mos, 3 mos, 3 mos. 6 mos (died)	NR	NR

Table 2: Outcomes after surgical resection

Ref	N	Surgical Approach	Additional Therapy	Local Recurrence and Follow-Up	MS (mos)	OS	Complications
53	4 (5 lesions)	NR	25% adjuvant adrenal bed RT; 75% adjuvant chemo	NR	Individual patient survivals: 10 mos; 13 mos (died); 7 mos+; 39 mos+ (alive)	NR	NR

*An additional 17 underwent chemotherapy alone. #1 additional patient had SBRT to adrenal. **8 had chemotherapy followed by resection of lung primary and adrenal. ^Mitomycin, cisplatin, vinblastine. αStatistically significant ($p < 0.05$). \$Described in previous report (Porte 1998). †One patient lost to follow-up. Avg = average; chemo = chemotherapy; comp = complications; DFS = disease-free survival; f/u = follow-up; lap = laparoscopic; LN = lymph node; MMC = mitomycin C; mos = months; MS = median survival; NR = not reported; NS = not significant; OS = overall survival; pts = patients; RT = radiotherapy; UNK = unknown; yr = year.

Table 3: Statistically significant prognostic factors for patients with NSCLC and AM treated with surgical resection

Overall Survival			
Parameter	Outcome	P-value*	Ref
Metachronous: better	MS 34 mos versus 12 mos; 1 yr OS 82.5% versus 49.9%; 2 yr OS 53.7% versus 26.7%; 5 yr OS 33.1% versus 23.4%	0.014	48 (2017)
DFI < 6mos: worse	MS 7.7 mos versus 30.1 mos	0.005	26 (2005)
Lymph node negative: better	MS 40 versus 13 mos; 1 yr OS 71.6% versus 57.9%; 2 yr OS 59.9% versus 27.4%; 5 yr OS 48.8% versus 6.3%	0.013	48 (2017)
N2 disease (versus N0/N1): worse	5 yr OS 0% versus 57%	0.008	32 (2011)
Unilateral: better	MS 27 mos (ipsilateral) versus 29 mos (contralateral) versus 11 mos (bilateral)	0.004	18 (2015)
Ipsilateral adrenal metastasis resected: better	5 yr OS 83% versus 0%	0.003	32 (2011)
Disease-free survival		P-value	Ref
Concomitant resection of primary and adrenal: worse	Median DFS 8 versus 35 mos	0.001	30 (2015)

*Abstracted from reference paper. AM = adrenal metastasis; DFI = disease-free interval; DFS = disease-free survival; mos = months; MS = median survival; NSCLC = non-small cell lung cancer; yr = year.

doses can be given safely because the treated volumes are usually small with narrow margins, patient positioning is highly reproducible, multiple non-uniform intensity beams each contribute a small dose along individual paths,^{11,34–35} and four dimensional computed tomography (CT) simulation (treatment planning) scans provide information about the movement of both the target and normal structures.³⁴ SBRT is typically administered over 5–10 treatments on consecutive or alternate days.³⁴

In eligible publications, mean age ranged from 59–74 years (Table 4). As with surgery, patients were >50% male (Table 4). Four studies allowed extra-adrenal disease, of which two included patients with uncontrolled or progressing metastases.^{12,37} Pathologic confirmation of AM was not reported, while two studies appeared to require PET. In three studies, patients were offered RT only if they were poor surgical candidates, declined surgery, or were refractory to, or declined, chemotherapy. Where data were available, approximately three-quarters of treated AM arose from the right, and on average 37.5% presented synchronously (n=four studies). Patients in three of the studies received systemic therapy before, after, or concurrent with RT (Table 5). However, cytotoxic systemic therapy was commonly held for 2–4 weeks^{23,38} before SBRT and restarted up to 8 weeks after its completion.³⁸

Simulation

In Katoh et al.'s treatment-planning study, no statistically significant difference in average AM motion in three dimensions was seen between the supine and prone positions.³⁹ There were also no differences in the minimum distances of implanted fiducial markers to the stomach or duodenum. As

such, the supine position became standard as it is more comfortable for the patient.³⁹ All patients in modern series had 4D CT simulation scans to enable visualization of the maximum movement of the lesion in relation to normal structures.³⁷ Oral and intravenous contrast were often employed.³⁸ CT-simulation-derived 3D datasets contained images in three different breathing phases: non-contrast free-breathing, contrast-enhanced end-expiration, and contrast-enhanced end-inspiration.⁴⁰ Simulation and treatment were performed with an empty stomach (nil by mouth [NPO] 3–4 hours) for more reproducible anatomy.^{39,41} Baseline diagnostic scans (CT, magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], PET) were fused with the simulation scan for contouring.^{10,42,43}

Respiratory motion management

Abdominal compression was often utilized to minimize respiratory excursion; however, approximately 20–40% of patients could not tolerate it due to body habitus, pain or respiratory function.^{37,41} Respiratory gating or end-expiratory breath hold were employed as other approaches, often implemented if organ motion was greater than a predetermined threshold, such as 5 mm. Implanted fiducials, used by Katoh et al. for real-time tumor tracking, were not associated with complications or migration during treatment.³⁹ When a fiducial marker moved to within 2 mm of the planned coordinates relative to the isocenter, beam-on was triggered.³⁹

Target volumes

The gross tumor volume (GTV) was contoured on both the end-expiration and end-inspiration scans, and combined into an internal target volume (ITV), which encompassed the maximal movement of the lesion in three

Table 4. Patient characteristics—radiotherapy

Ref	Age	% Male	% Biopsy proven	Size	Right: left	Ipsilateral: contra	Imaging	Synch: metach (DFI)	Adrenal as solitary metastasis?
82 (2014)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	CT or MRI; PET within 8 wks of RT	7 metach	Up to 6 active extracranial lesions/pt permitted
42 (2013)	Mean 69.3 yrs	75.0%	NR	Mean PTV 135.4 cm ³	1R:3L	NR	PET +/- MRI	2 synch^: 2 metach (mean DFI 48 mos)	NR
37* (2012)	Mean 59.2 yrs	55.6%	NR	Mean GTV 243.5 cm ³ ; Mean PTV 387.6 cm ³	NR	NR	NR	5 synch^: 5 metach (mean DFI NR)	All had additional systemic disease: 5/9 “stable”, 4/9 progressive
41 (2011)	Median 61.5 yrs	94.4%	NR	Mean PTV 171.6 cm ³	9R:9L	NR	CT only	NR	13/18 solitary
12* (2011)	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	NR	4 synch: 4 metach (mean DFI NR); 6 NS	6/14 controlled using RT or chemo
39** (2008)	Mean 74 yrs	NR	NR	Mean 4.2 cm (2–6.5 cm)	2R:5L	NR	CT +/- PET	NR	NR

*All patients were either poor surgical candidates or refused surgical intervention. **Patients had to be refractory to chemotherapy or not eligible for chemotherapy or surgery. ***Perirenal metastatic lymph nodes included. ^Synchronous defined as within 6 months of diagnosis of primary. CT = computed tomography; DFI = disease-free interval; GTV = gross tumor volume; metac = metachronous; MRI = magnetic resonance imaging; NR = not reported; NS = not specified; PET = positron emission tomography; pt = patient; PTV = planning target volume; RT = radiotherapy; synch = synchronous; wks = weeks; yrs = years.

Table 5: Outcomes after stereotactic body radiation therapy

Ref	N	Dose fractionation	BED Gy ₁₀ (range)	Additional therapy	Response rate/local control	MS (months) & mean F/U	OS	Adverse events
82	6 (7 lesions)	Median 40 Gy/5 (range 33–40 Gy/2–5)	Mean 74.9 Gy ₁₀ (range 59.5–120 Gy ₁₀)	Concurrent erlotinib†	NR	Mean OS 19.8 mos (n=3) (mean f/u NR)	NR	NR
42	4	Mean 43.5 Gy/5 (range 33.8–60 Gy/5)	Mean 83.4 Gy ₁₀ (range 56.6–132 Gy ₁₀)	100% received prior chemo	ORR 75% **by 2 mos (2 CR; 1 PR; 1 SD); 0 local or marginal failure	NR; (mean f/u 9.8 mos)	NR	Acute: 25% grade 1 diarrhea; 50% grade 1 fatigue; 25% grade 2 nausea; 0 acute grade ≥3 Late: 25% grade 2 nausea
37	9 (10 lesions)	Median 25 Gy/5;* delivered on alternate days	Median 37.5 Gy ₁₀ (28–65.6 Gy ₁₀)	NR	ORR 67%** by 5 mos	NR; (mean f/u 7.3 mos)	1 yr OS 50% 2 yr OS 15%	Acute: 0 grade ≥3 Late: 0 grade ≥3
41	18	40 Gy/5 delivered on alternate days (44.4%); 25 Gy/5 (16.7%); other (38.9%)	Mean 53.5 Gy ₁₀ (22.5–72 Gy ₁₀)	NR	77% LC; 11.1% local-only failure; 11.1% local + systemic failure	MS 21 mos; Median PFS 4.2 mos (Median f/u 12 mos)	NR	Acute: 33.3% grade 1 nausea; 5.6% gastric ulcer; 5.6% gastric + duodenal ulcer Late: NR
12	14^	Mean 42.5 Gy/14 (range 30–60 Gy/1–27)	Mean 65.3 Gy ₁₀ (50.3–120 Gy ₁₀)	35.7% received chemo before RT; 42.9% concurrent; 21.4% none	ORR 57% by 6 mos (4 CR; 4 PR; 5 SD; 1 PD); 21.4% local recurrence (of whom 33.3% had had PR, 33.3% SD, 33.3% PD)	Mean survival 19.3 mos (mean f/u NR)	NR	7.1% grade 2 duodenal ulcer; 0 grade ≥3 toxicity
39	5 (6 lesions)	48 Gy/8	76.8 Gy ₁₀	NR	66.7% CR; 33.3% SD	NR (mean f/u 14 mos)	NR	Acute: 0 GI; Late: 0

*7/9 IMRT, 2/9 3D conformal RT. **Objective response rate = complete + partial response rate. ^Combination of SBRT and external beam RT. †No patient had EGFR mutation. BED = biologically equivalent dose; chemo = chemotherapy; CR = complete response; GI = gastrointestinal; Gy = Gray; LC = local control; mos = months; NR = not reported; ORR = objective response rate; OS = overall survival; PD = progressive disease; PFS = progression-free survival; PR = partial response; SD = stable disease.

dimensions.⁴² The ITV was copied onto the free-breathing sequence, and then geometrically expanded by 3–5 mm.^{37–39,42,43} Planning target volume (PTV) expansion could be differential – such as 5 mm in the transverse and 10 mm in the craniocaudal dimensions – given that amplitude of movement is often smaller laterally.^{39,41} PTV was reduced or absent near normal structures such as the stomach or duodenum.^{39,44} Additional margin for subclinical disease (clinical target volume [CTV]) was often 0 mm,^{37,43} but previous reports described utilization of 2 mm,⁴¹ 3 mm,^{38,39} or 5–10 mm.¹² Mean PTV size (n=three studies reporting) ranged from 135.4–387.6 cm³, with one additional study describing a mean lesion size of 4.2 cm. Kato et al. treated patients with perirenal metastatic LN;³⁹ although inclusion of involved regional LN would presently be considered palliative RT.³⁴

Position verification

As the adrenal gland (and therefore neighboring structures) shifts between fractions if the lesion rapidly shrinks, monitoring with cone beam CT enabled early adaptive re-planning, and therefore minimized normal tissue toxicity.³⁷ In two studies, all patients had at least one cone beam CT.^{37,39} Orthogonal kilovoltage images were used if fiducials were implanted. Any shift greater than 0.3 cm required a second image acquisition to verify position.⁴³

Dose

Total doses ranged from 25–60 Gy, delivered over 1–27 fractions. While biologically equivalent dose (BED) is an unconfirmed method of converting different SBRT dose schedules,^{35,45} it was utilized for ease of comparison (Table 5). Crude mean BED was 65.2 Gy₁₀ (range 37.5–83.4 Gy₁₀), roughly equivalent to an SBRT schedule of 37.5 Gy/5 fractions.

Outcomes

Complete response (CR) was reported as 28.6%, 50%, and 66.7% (n=3 studies with data); partial response (PR) was 25% and 28.6% (n=2), and stable disease (SD) was 25%, 33.3%, and 35.7% (n=3). Objective response rate (ORR; CR+PR) was 75% 2 months after treatment completion,⁴² 67% at 5 months,³⁷ and 57% at 6 months.¹² Crude average local recurrence was 14.5% after RT. Survival was actually uncommonly reported, with mean survivals of 19–20 months and MS of 21 months. Only one study described 1- and 2-year OS (Table 5). There was no reported acute or late grade >3 treatment-related toxicity, and no RT-induced adrenal or renal insufficiency.

Discussion

Traditionally, metastatic NSCLC has been addressed by platinum-based cytotoxic systemic therapy with response rates of 20–30%, and MS of approximately 8 months.^{46,47} Historically, the MS of patients with an AM, in whom it and the primary are left in situ, is 9 months.²² Five-year OS is essentially zero in the absence of an actionable molecular mutation.⁴

There is no randomized evidence supporting the use of any AM-directed therapy, and no prospective studies directly comparing surgery and SBRT. Limitations of the available data are excellently summarized by Gunjur et al., authors of one of four previous systematic reviews.³⁵ Three of these four papers were restricted to surgical results in lung cancer,^{48–50} with Gunjur and colleagues analyzing surgery (n=30 studies) and ablative RT (n=9) for adrenal OM from all primary tumor sites.³⁵ Gunjur et al. reported a weighted 2-year OS of 46% for adrenalectomy versus 19% for SBRT, and 2-year local control (LC) of 84% versus 63% respectively.³⁵ Two-year OS after surgery in Gao et al.'s pooled analysis was 40.5%, and 5-year OS was 28.2% (n=98 patients).⁴⁸ Tanvetyanon et al. described 25% 5-year OS (n=10 studies; 114

patients),⁴⁹ while Beitler et al. reported approximately one-third of patients as five-year survivors.⁵⁰ Table 6 contrasts our results with historical survival and symptom palliation rates for widely metastatic stage IV NSCLC.

The better than expected outcomes after treatment of OM may reflect selection of patients with less bulky, slow-growing tumors, good PS, and low overall burden of disease, rather than the effects of aggressive treatment (Table 7).^{23,51} The relatively poor results of RT in comparison with surgery may also be attributed to differences in patient selection.⁴⁴ In the surgical literature, the majority of patients have metastases confined to the adrenal or have extra-adrenal OM which have also been aggressively treated; have usually had their primary disease resected; must be technically resectable; and medically operable.⁴⁴ For example, in Raz et al., all patients managed non-operatively for their, apparently solitary, AM had also been managed non-operatively in the lung.³² Case mix in terms of potential prognostic factors, such as intrathoracic (especially nodal) stage, treatment and status of primary disease, disease-free interval (DFI), PS, expected lifespan, and extra-adrenal metastatic load, are also dissimilar between patients treated with RT and surgery. In terms of treatment, some surgical studies included patients with microscopic margin positivity and/or gross residual disease (R2), while these were excluded by others. RT dose-fractionation schedule varied significantly, with adjuvant and neoadjuvant therapy only briefly described. Early RT is not technologically equivalent to modern treatment; for example, neither cone beam CT nor 4D simulation scans were utilized in early publications. Follow-up and imaging protocols vary widely. Adverse event reporting, especially the late effects of RT, is confounded by competing risks of local progression, distant metastases, death and comorbidity, as well as by subsequent therapy. There are no available QOL data.

Work-up and patient selection

Between 2 and 5% of the population has a benign adrenal adenoma.^{52,53} Other differential diagnoses include cyst, myelolipoma, pheochromocytoma, and hematoma.⁵² Imaging features that have been used to characterize metastases include size, growth rate, irregular contour, delayed washout of contrast, associated LN, local invasion/obliteration of fat planes, inferior vena cava (IVC) thrombus and heterogeneity.^{24,49,54,55} However, CT scans can be falsely negative or underestimate lesion size when metastatic lesions do not alter the macroscopic structure of the gland.^{56,57} Serum and urine studies,^{20,54} along with MRI,^{18,21} should be considered to rule out pheochromocytoma (Table 7). Imaging should ideally have been performed within 2 months of therapy.³⁸

Biopsy of an adrenal mass in this setting remains controversial. It has been proposed as mandatory by some,^{20,21,24,50,53} especially in a patient with potentially curable intrathoracic disease.⁵⁷ However, in many publications, only a minority of patients had histological confirmation prior to definitive therapy.¹⁰ Potential complications of biopsy include pneumothorax, sepsis, tumor capsule disruption, spillage and dissemination along the needle track.^{25,54,55} Bleeding can cause adhesion of the gland to surrounding tissues, making subsequent dissection more difficult, possibly contributing to tumor seeding and local recurrence.⁵⁵ There is a risk of hemodynamic catastrophe if the lesion is a pheochromocytoma.^{55,58} These potential adverse events, along with a high proportion of non-diagnostic and false negative specimens,^{55,58} argues for adrenalectomy without biopsy.^{55,59} This avoids potential morbidity of two procedures,^{21,25,50,56,60} especially in the setting of a new adrenal lesion on imaging in an otherwise disease-free patient, particularly within 1 year of primary diagnosis.^{10,50}

Table 6: Comparison of survival and palliation

	Symptom palliation	Survival	Refs
Overall stage IV (SEER database) [^]	NR	1 yr OS 52% 2 yr OS 34% 3 yr OS 25% MS 1.1 yrs	80
Best supportive care	NR	MS 3–6 mos 1 yr 12–14%	47
Palliative RT	ORR 75% (12/16) Average duration of pain relief 29 wks (range 7–65 wks)	MS 3 mos	68,69
Palliative chemotherapy	NR	MS 6–11 mos 1 yr OS 24–26%	3,33
Surgery	100% symptomatic relief [#]	1 yr OS 63.5–91% 2 yr OS 29–60% 3 yr OS 14–52% 5 yr OS 23–45% MS 9.5–63 mos	72, present study
SBRT	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> 75–100% had disappearance of pain and discontinuation of analgesics 100% had pain relief based on decreased opioid use 75% had complete relief 50*–100% had improvement in pain 25% had partial response (reduced analgesics) 	Mean surv 19–20 mos MS 21 mos	10,37,39–41,44,69, present study

*Primary site not specified. #Primary site not limited to NSCLC. ^Stage IV NSCLC diagnosed 2004–2007 (n=2,420), primary tumor treated surgically, distant metastases not treated surgically. Mos = months; MS = median survival; NR = not reported; ORR = overall response rate; OS = overall survival; RT = radiotherapy; SBRT = stereotactic body radiation therapy; surv = survival; wks = weeks; yrs = years.

Pathophysiology of adrenal metastases

In a landmark autopsy series, the ipsilateral adrenal was affected more often, by larger metastatic lesions, with earlier stage intrathoracic disease, compared to the contralateral.⁶¹ This was interpreted as suggestive of a different mechanism of involvement, given that if spread were solely hematogenous, both adrenals should be affected equally.⁶¹ Retroperitoneal lymphatic passages may allow direct spread of tumor between the lung and the adrenal,⁶² and metastatic foci often appear first in the adrenal medulla, which has many lymphatic channels.⁶³ These data suggest that an AM, especially ipsilateral to a lower lobe primary, should be considered regional echelon spread similar to other lymphatic areas.³² By means of the “skip” phenomenon, tumor may miss the first (intrathoracic) nodal station while embedding at the next;⁶¹ this has been proposed as the etiology of adrenal OM without apparent mediastinal or hilar disease. Conversely, a contralateral adrenal OM has been proposed as more likely hematogenously deposited via the rich sinusoidal blood supply, potentially portending a worse prognosis.^{21,24,32,34} Tumor emboli in Batson’s venous plexus can seed either adrenal, depending on transient blood pressure conditions.⁶¹ Disease-free interval – that is, whether a metastasis presents synchronously or metachronously – is likely a surrogate for intrinsic biological aggressiveness, and therefore possibly route of spread.^{33,58} However, some feel that the lymphatic theory of adrenal metastases remains insufficiently supported.⁵⁰

Table 7: Suggested patient selection criteria

General	For surgery	For SBRT
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Primary lung lesion and regional LN are controlled, controllable, stable or absent Good performance status (ECOG 0–2 or KPS >60) No limiting comorbidities Expected lifespan >3–6 mos Limited weight loss (<10%) Staging investigations including 18F-FDG PET and brain imaging reveal either no metastases elsewhere, or only OM also amenable to curative resection or radical RT Prefer long DFI Prefer absence of N2 intrathoracic lymph nodes Consider work-up to rule out pheochromocytoma, if appropriate Consider biopsy, if appropriate Consider assessment of differential renal function 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No contraindications to anesthesia Medically operable Imaging demonstrates the lesion to be technically resectable, and R0 resection anticipated Prefer minimal to no previous abdominal surgery or trauma Consider surgery over SBRT if lesion large enough that local control is unlikely and/or normal tissue constraints cannot be achieved Consider surgery over SBRT if urgent palliation required (e.g. bleeding) 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No regional LN or neighboring organ involvement Not received maximal radiotherapy to region previously Ability to tolerate the treatment position for sufficient time Ability to tolerate abdominal compression, gating, or breath-hold for motion management

DFI = disease-free interval; ECOG = Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group; FDG PET = fluorodeoxyglucose-positron emission tomography; KPS = Karnofsky Performance Status; LN = lymph node; mos = months; OM = oligometastases; RT = radiotherapy; SBRT = stereotactic body radiation therapy.

Open versus laparoscopic approach

In general, the laparoscopic approach has been associated with less intraoperative blood loss, shorter hospital stay, less postoperative opioid use, more rapid return to normal activity, increased patient satisfaction, lower rates of morbidity, and better cosmesis.^{31,56} However, operative (OR) time may be longer, with a steeper practitioner learning curve (suggested at 30–40 procedures).⁵⁶ Potential complications include: diaphragmatic injury, inferior epigastric injury, pancreatic fistula, pain, hernia, thromboembolism, wound infection, or bleeding; reported incidence is 9–13%.⁵⁶ At least one case report describes port site and retroperitoneal recurrence.⁶⁴ Additional adverse events described after laparoscopic adrenalectomy for all indications, not limited to AM, are: 1.2% endocrine complications, 1.2% reoperation rate, 3.6% open conversion, and 0.2% mortality.⁵⁶ Laparoscopic surgery for an AM may be more likely to require open conversion.⁶⁵ In comparison, for all indications, the open approach has a higher incidence of: associated organ injury; wound, cardiac, pulmonary and infectious complications; complications overall (35.8%), and mortality (0.9%).⁵⁶ Extensive discussion of merits and techniques of a laparoscopic versus open approach is beyond the scope of this paper, but this has been excellently reviewed elsewhere.^{56,66}

Symptom palliation

While clinically, most patients are asymptomatic from their AM,^{26,54} some experience non-specific fatigue, nausea, vomiting, weight loss, and early satiety.^{67,68} Pain may affect the lower chest, abdomen, back or flank,

mimicking hepatic, bone, or chest wall disease.^{44,67,69} Pain may be severe enough to require opioids and impact negatively on QOL.³⁷ The left adrenal usually extends more cranially than the right; additionally, because the medial border of the left adrenal is closely related to the left celiac ganglion, a left-sided expanding lesion may be more likely to cause pain.⁶⁸ Adrenal insufficiency is rare, even when AM are bilateral, as over 90% of the gland must be destroyed before there is functional loss.^{44,68-70} An untreated AM will eventually invade the diaphragmatic crus, celiac axis, kidney, posterior stomach, tail of the pancreas and wall of the aorta, and may cause melena or hematemesis;⁵⁹ clinically significant hemorrhage into the adrenal is unusual.^{33,70} Resection or radiation can therefore be palliative^{27,59,68} (*Table 6*), or be undertaken to try to prevent future symptomatic progression.¹⁰

Interestingly, in Zerrweck's series of 65 patients (15 NSCLC), on univariate analysis, survival was significantly prolonged if patients were asymptomatic (n=46) versus symptomatic (n=19) from their adrenal metastasis: MS was 52 months versus 17 months, respectively (5-year OS 48% versus 29%, p=0.04). However, this did not retain prognostic significance on multivariate analysis.⁶⁷

In a series focusing on symptom improvement after RT, 16 (13 NSCLC) patients were treated palliatively for 14 left- and three right-sided lesions (one person had bilateral lesions) between 1972 and 1988.⁶⁸ Symptoms at baseline included back, flank, epigastric or upper quadrant pain (n=11); and otherwise unexplained nausea, vomiting, or weight loss (n=2). Ten patients received 30 Gy in 10–12 fractions while the remaining had a variety of doses/techniques (range 29.25–45 Gy). Six out of 16 patients had a CR (complete pain relief, no analgesics required), two out of 16 had a good response (marked pain relief, analgesics still required), and four out of 16 had moderate relief (lesser pain relief), for an overall response rate of 75% (12 of 16 patients).⁶⁸ The remainder had minimal or no pain response. In terms of toxicity, 44% had minimal to moderate nausea and 19% had transient diarrhea. Overall, MS after palliative RT was 3 months: 1 month if other distant metastases were present (seven of 16 patients), versus 6 months with adrenal-only disease (*Table 6*).⁶⁸

Recommendations

Patient selection criteria for aggressive therapy of an adrenal oligometastasis are summarized in *Table 7*. Surgical resection remains the gold standard, given the large body of data – albeit retrospective – with longer follow up.⁴² In unresectable or medically inoperable patients, however, SBRT should be considered in experienced centres.³⁵ Survival rates for this highly selected subset of patients compare favorably to both historic controls and to curatively treated unresectable stage III NSCLC patients in the modern era.

Contemporary patients should have 18F-fluorodeoxyglucose (FDG) PET^{10,27,58} and brain MRI.²⁷ All should have pathologic confirmation of the primary,²⁵

and, in the case of synchronous AM, determination of mediastinal LN status,^{19,24,28,50} especially nodes with a short axis dimension of 1–1.5 cm.^{20,26} While surgical or ablative therapy in the setting of N2 intrathoracic nodes remains controversial,^{33,71} the presence of extra-adrenal OM which can also be approached radically does not appear to be a contraindication.^{49,67,72} This is due to the absence of a significant difference in MS after aggressive therapy between patients with an isolated AM and those with OM disease, which includes an adrenal lesion.⁷³

Some authors advocate the “test of time” approach in synchronous presentations, utilizing systemic therapy (cytotoxic chemotherapy or targeted agents) for 3–12 months, to allow the natural history of the disease to declare itself.^{21,26,33,48,59} If, after that period, no evidence of spread is evident on thorough clinical and radiologic reassessment, tumor biology can be considered favorable and aggressive therapy may be entertained.^{48,59}

Eligibility criteria for a laparoscopic approach have been suggested as: tumor <5–6 cm,^{20,60} <8 cm,³⁰ ≤9 cm (54), or ≤10–12 cm;^{56,74} absence of caval thrombus;^{54,74} no involvement of IVC, periaortic LN, renal vein or other adjacent structures;^{20,30,54,56,60,74} absence of unacceptable cardiopulmonary risk and uncorrectable coagulopathy;⁵⁶ no morbid obesity;⁵⁴ and no significant adhesions from prior surgery or trauma.⁵⁴

Adjuvant systemic therapy should be considered for patients with good functional status to address micrometastatic disease,^{33,50,71,75} acknowledging that the optimal duration is not defined and the level of supporting evidence is weak. There are insufficient data to support routine postoperative adrenal bed RT at present, although it may be reasonable for R1/R2 resections.

In terms of RT, dose-fractionation schedule is presumed related to treated-metastasis control rates, although again conclusive evidence is lacking.^{11-12,43} On the basis of the Japanese data demonstrating significantly higher LC after SBRT of NSCLC primary lesions with BED >100 Gy,²⁷ some recommend this goal in the treatment of AM.¹¹

Conclusions

In patients with an isolated or oligometastatic adrenal lesion secondary to NSCLC, aggressive treatment should be pursued in appropriate patients, if congruent with their wishes. However, it must be acknowledged that it is unclear whether resection or RT in this setting alters the natural history of the disease. Median survival of up to 63 months after surgery and 21 months after SBRT has been reported, with half to one third of patients surviving five years. It is difficult to discern the potential benefit of neo/adjuvant or concurrent systemic therapy and postoperative adrenal bed RT from the data available. Patients being considered for therapy for an isolated AM should be referred in a timely manner, given that early intervention is likely associated with decreased morbidity. □

1. Torre LA, Siegel RL, Jemal A, Lung cancer statistics, *Adv Exp Med Biol*, 2016;893:1–19.
2. Ramalingam S, Belani C, Systemic chemotherapy for advanced non-small cell lung cancer: Recent advances and future directions, *The Oncologist*, 2008;13(suppl 1):5–13.
3. Luketich JD, Burt M, Does resection of adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer improve survival?, *Ann Thorac Surg*, 1996;62:1614–6.
4. American Cancer Society. Available at: www.cancer.org/cancer/non-small-cell-lung-cancer/detection-diagnosis-staging/survival-rates.html (accessed November 2, 2017).
5. Hellman S, Weichselbaum R, Oligometastases, *J Clin Oncol*, 1995;13:8–10.
6. Ashworth AB, Senan S, Palma DA, et al., An individual patient data metaanalysis of outcomes and prognostic factors after treatment of oligometastatic non-small-cell lung cancer, *Clin Lung Cancer*, 2014;15:346–55.
7. Albain K, Crowley J, LeBlanc M, Livingston RB, Survival determinants in extensive stage non-small cell lung cancer: The Southwest Oncology Group experience, *J Clin Oncol*, 1991;9:1618–26.
8. Tree A, Khoo V, Eeles R, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy for oligometastases, *Lancet Oncol*, 2013;14:E28–37.
9. Niibe Y, Hayakawa K, Oligometastases and oligo-recurrence: The new era of cancer therapy, *Jpn J Clin Oncol*, 2010;40:107–11.
10. Chawla S, Chen Y, Katz AW, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy for treatment of adrenal metastases, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2009;75:71–5.
11. Lester-Coll NH, Decker RH, The role of stereotactic body radiation therapy in the management of oligometastatic lung cancer, *Lung Cancer Manag*, 2015;4:145–53.
12. Oshiro Y, Takeda Y, Hirano S, et al., Role of radiotherapy for local control of asymptomatic adrenal metastasis from lung cancer, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2011;34:249–53.
13. Burt M, Heelan R, Coit D, et al., Prospective evaluation of unilateral adrenal masses in patients with operable non-small cell lung cancer: Impact of magnetic resonance imaging, *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 1994;107:584–8.
14. Allard P, Yankaskas BC, Fletcher RH, et al., Sensitivity and specificity of computed tomography for the detection of adrenal metastatic lesions among 91 autopsied lung cancer patients, *Cancer*, 1990;66:457–62.
15. Nielsen M, Heaston D, Dunnick N, Korobkin M, Preoperative CT evaluation of adrenal glands in non-small cell bronchogenic carcinoma, *AJR*, 1982;139:317–20.
16. Sandler M, Paerlberg J, Madrazo B, et al., Computed tomographic evaluation of the adrenal gland in the preoperative assessment of bronchogenic carcinoma, *Radio*, 1982;145:733–6.
17. Mathews MJ, Kanhouwa S, Pickren J, Robinette D, Frequency of residual and metastatic tumour in patients undergoing curative resection of lung cancer, *Cancer Chemother Rep*, 1973;4:63–7.
18. Barone M, Di D, Giuseppe N, Pierpaolo C, Oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC): adrenal metastases: Experience in a single institution, *Updates Surg*, 2015;67:383–7.
19. Ambrogi V, Tonini G, Mineo T, Prolonged survival after extracranial metastatectomy from synchronous resectable lung cancer, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2001;8:663–6.
20. Bendinelli C, Lucchi M, Buccianti P, et al., Adrenal masses in non-small cell lung carcinoma patients: Is there any role for laparoscopic procedures?, *J Lap Adv Surg Tech*, 1998;8:119–24.
21. Porte H, Roumilhac D, Graziana JP, et al., Adrenalectomy for a solitary adrenal metastases from lung cancer, *Ann Thoracic Surg*, 1998;65:331–5.
22. Ettinghausen S, Burt M, Prospective evaluation of unilateral adrenal masses in patients with operable non-small cell lung cancer, *J Clin Oncol*, 1991;9:1462–6.
23. Hasselle M, Haraf D, Rusthoven K, et al., Hypofractionated image-guided radiation therapy for patients with limited volume metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, *J Thorac Oncol*, 2012;7:376–81.
24. Bretcha-Boix P, Rami-Porta R, Mateu-Navarro M, et al., Surgical treatment of lung cancer with adrenal metastasis, *Lung Cancer*, 2000;27:101–5.
25. Pfannschmidt J, Scholout B, Muley T, et al., Adrenalectomy for solitary adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer, *Lung Cancer*, 2005;49:203–7.
26. Mercier O, Fadel E, De Perrot M, et al., Surgical treatment of solitary adrenal metastasis from non-small cell lung cancer, *J Thorac Cardiovasc Surg*, 2005;130:136–40.
27. Porte H, Siat J, Guibert B, Resection of adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: A multicenter study, *Ann Thorac Surg*, 2001;71:981–5.
28. Plónes T, Osei-Agyemang T, Krohn A, Passlick B, Surgical treatment of extrapulmonary oligometastatic non-small cell lung cancer, *Indian J Surg*, 2015;77(Suppl 2):S216–220.
29. Sebag F, Calzolari C, Harding J, et al., Isolated adrenal metastasis: The role of laparoscopic surgery, *World J Surg*, 2006;30:888–92.
30. Solaini L, Ministrini S, Tomasoni M, et al., Adrenalectomy for metastasis: long-term results and predictors of survival, *Endocrine*, 2015;50:187–92.
31. Strong V, D'Angelica M, Tang L, et al., Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for isolated adrenal metastasis, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2007;14:3392–400.
32. Raz DJ, Lanuti M, Gaissert HC, et al., Outcomes of patients with isolated adrenal metastasis from non-small cell lung carcinoma, *Ann Thorac Surg*, 2011;92:1788–93.
33. Higashiyama M, Doi O, Kodama K, et al., Surgical treatment of adrenal metastasis following pulmonary resection for lung cancer: comparison of adrenalectomy with palliative therapy, *Int Surg*, 1994;79:124–9.
34. Milgrom S, Goodman K, The role of radiation therapy in the management of adrenal carcinoma and adrenal metastases, *J Surg Oncol*, 2012;106:647–50.
35. Gunjur A, Duong C, Ball D, Siva S, Surgical and ablative therapies for the management of adrenal oligometastases: A systematic review, *Cancer Treat Rev*, 2014;40:838–46.
36. Cheruvu P, Metcalfe S, Metcalfe J, et al., Comparison of outcomes in patients with stage III versus limited stage IV non-small cell lung cancer, *Radiat Oncol*, 2011;6:80.
37. Guiou M, Mayr NA, Kim EY, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy for adrenal metastases from lung cancer, *J Radiat Oncol*, 2012;11:155–63.
38. Scorsetti M, Alongi F, Filippi A, et al., Long-term local control achieved after hypofractionated stereotactic body radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastases: A retrospective analysis of 34 patients, *Acta Oncol*, 2012;51:618–23.
39. Katoh N, Onimaru R, Sakuhara Y, et al., Real-time tumour-tracking radiotherapy for adrenal tumours, *Radiother Oncol*, 2008;87:418–24.
40. Casamassima F, Livi L, Masciullo S, et al., Stereotactic radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastases: University of Florence experience, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2012;82:919–23.
41. Holy R, Piroth M, Pinkawa M, Eble MJ, Stereotactic Body Radiation Therapy for treatment of adrenal gland metastases from non-small cell lung cancer, *Strahlen Onkol*, 2011;187:245–51.
42. Ahmed K, Barney B, Macdonald K, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy in the treatment of adrenal metastases, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2013;36:509–13.
43. Barney B, Olivier K, Macdonald O, et al., Clinical outcomes and dosimetric considerations using stereotactic body radiotherapy for abdominopelvic tumours, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2012;35:537–42.
44. Torok J, Wegner RE, Burton SA, Heron DE, Stereotactic body radiation therapy for adrenal metastases: a retrospective review of a noninvasive therapeutic strategy, *Futur Oncol*, 2011;7:145–51.
45. Marks L, Yorke E, Jackson A, et al., Use of normal tissue complication probability models in the clinic, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2010;76:S10–S19.
46. Villaruz LC, Kubicek GJ, Socinski M, Management of non-small cell lung cancer with oligometastasis, *Curr Oncol Rep*, 2012;14:333–41.
47. Spiro S, Rudd R, Souhami R, et al., Chemotherapy versus supportive care in advanced non-small cell lung cancer: Improved survival without detriment to quality of life, *Thorax*, 2004;59:828–36.
48. Gao X-L, Zhang K-W, Tang M-B, et al., Pooled analysis for surgical treatment for isolated adrenal metastasis and non-small cell lung cancer, *Interact Cardiovasc Thorac Surg*, 2017;24:1–7.
49. Tanvetyanon T, Robinson LA, Schell MJ, et al., Outcomes of adrenalectomy for isolated synchronous versus metachronous adrenal metastases in non-small-cell lung cancer: A systematic review and pooled analysis, *J Clin Oncol*, 2008;26:1142–7.
50. Beiter A, Urschel J, Velagapudi S, Takita H, Surgical management of adrenal metastases from lung cancer, *J Surg Oncol*, 1998;69:54–7.
51. Griffioen G, Toguri D, Dahele M, et al., Radical treatment of synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung carcinoma: Patient outcomes and prognostic factors, *Lung Cancer*, 2013;82:95–102.
52. Schwartz L, Ginsberg M, Burt M, et al., MRI as an alternative to CT-guided biopsy of adrenal masses in patients with lung cancer, *Ann Thorac Surg*, 1998;65:193–7.
53. Reyes L, Parvez Z, Nemoto T, et al., Adrenalectomy for adrenal metastasis from Lung Carcinoma, *J Surg Oncol*, 1990;44:32–34.
54. Uoberoi J, Munver R, Surgical management of metastases to the adrenal gland: Open, laparoscopic, and ablative approaches, *Curr Urol Rep*, 2009;10:67–72.
55. Kebebew E, Siperstein A, Clark O, Duh Q, Results of laparoscopic adrenalectomy for suspected and unsuspected malignant adrenal neoplasms, *Arch Surg*, 2002;137:948–53.
56. Assalila A, Gagner M, Laparoscopic adrenalectomy, *Brit J Surg*, 2004;91:1259–74.
57. Rau K, Liaw C, Chang C, Lee K, Successful surgical treatment of solitary adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: Case report, *Chang Gung Med J*, 1999;22:282–6.
58. Sarela A, Murphy I, Coit D, et al., Metastasis to the adrenal gland: The emerging role of laparoscopic surgery, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2003;10:1191–6.
59. Kirsch A, Oz M, Stoopler M, et al., Operative management of adrenal metastases from lung carcinoma, *Urol*, 1993;42:716–9.
60. Valeri A, Borrelli A, Presenti L, et al., Adrenal masses in neoplastic patients, *Surg Endosc*, 2001;15:90–3.
61. Karolyi P, Do adrenal metastases from lung cancer develop by lymphogenous or hematogenous route?, *J Surg Oncol*, 1990;43:154–6.
62. Meyer K, Direct lymphatic connections from the lower lobes of the lung to the abdomen, *J Thorac Surg*, 1958;35:726–33.
63. Onuigbo W, Patterns of metastasis in lung cancer: A review, *Cancer Res*, 1961;21:1077–85.
64. Chen B, Zhou M, Cappelli M, Wolf S, Port site, retroperitoneal and intra-abdominal recurrence after laparoscopic adrenalectomy for apparently isolated metastasis, *J Urol*, 2002;168:2528–9.
65. Tiberio G, Solaini L, Arru L, et al., Factors influencing outcomes in laparoscopic adrenal surgery, *Langenbecks Arch Surg*, 2013;398:735–43.
66. Henniford B, Arca M, Walsh R, Gill I, Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for cancer, *Sem Surg Oncol*, 1999;16:293–306.
67. Zerweck C, Caiazzo R, Clerquin B, et al., Renal origin and size are independent predictors of survival after surgery for adrenal metastasis, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2012;19:3621–6.
68. Soffen E, Solin L, Rubenstein J, Hanks G, Palliative radiotherapy for symptomatic adrenal metastases, *Cancer*, 1990;65:1318–20.
69. Short S, Chaturvedi A, Leslie M, Palliation of symptomatic adrenal gland metastases by radiotherapy, *Clin Oncol*, 1996;8:387–9.
70. Lam KY, Lo CY, Metastatic tumours of the adrenal glands: A 30 year experience in a teaching hospital, *Clin Endo*, 2002;56:95–101.
71. Kozower B, Lamer J, Dettlerbeck F, Jones D, Special treatment issues in non-small cell lung cancer: Diagnosis and management of lung cancer, 3rd ed: American college of chest physicians evidence-based clinical practice guidelines, *Chest*, 2013;143(5 Suppl):369–99.
72. Kim S, Brennan M, Russo P, et al., The role of surgery in the treatment of clinically isolated adrenal metastasis, *Cancer*, 1998;82:389–94.
73. Howell G, Carty S, Armstrong M, et al., Outcome and prognostic factors after adrenalectomy for patients with distant adrenal metastases, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2013;20:3491–6.
74. Moizadeh A, Gill I, Laparoscopic radical adrenalectomy for malignancy in 31 patients, *J Urol*, 2005;173:519–25.
75. Abdel-Raheem MM, Potti A, Becker WK, et al., Late adrenal metastasis in operable non-small cell lung carcinoma, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2002;25:81–3.
76. Onishi H, Shirato H, Nagata Y, et al., Hypofractionated stereotactic radiotherapy for stage I non-small cell lung cancer: Updated results of 257 patients in a Japanese multi-institutional study, *J Thorac Oncol*, 2007;2:S94–100.
77. Ma X, Li H, Zhang X, et al., Modified anatomical retroperitoneoscopic adrenalectomy for adrenal metastatic tumour: Technique and survival analysis, *Surg Endosc*, 2013;27:992–9.
78. Moreno P, de la Quintana Basarrate A, Musholt T, et al., Adrenalectomy for solid tumour metastases: Results of a multicenter European study, *Surg*, 2013;154:1215–23.
79. De Ruysscher D, Wanders R, van Baardwijk A, et al., Radical treatment of non-small cell lung cancer patients with synchronous oligometastases: Long term results of a prospective phase II trial, *J Thorac Oncol*, 2012;7:1547–55.
80. Vazquez B, Richards M, Lohse C, et al., Adrenalectomy improves outcomes of selected patients with metastatic carcinoma, *World J Surg*, 2012;36:1400–5.
81. Muth A, Persson F, Jansson S, et al., Prognostic factors for survival after surgery for adrenal metastasis, *Eur J Surg Oncol*, 2010;36:699–704.
82. Iyengar P, Kavanagh B, Wardak Z, et al., Phase II trial of stereotactic body radiation therapy combined with erlotinib for patients with limited but progressive metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, *J Clin Oncol*, 2014;32:3824–30.

Appendix 1 - Search Strategy

Database: Ovid MEDLINE(R) In-Process & Other Non-Indexed

Citations: Ovid MEDLINE(R) Daily and Ovid MEDLINE(R) <1946 to Present>

Search Strategy:

1. exp Carcinoma, Non-Small-Cell Lung/dh, dt, rt, su, th [Diet Therapy, Drug Therapy, Radiotherapy, Surgery, Therapy] (28223)
2. oligometastasis.mp. (101)
3. exp Neoplasm Metastasis/ or oligometastases.mp. (183689)
4. 2 or 3 (183734)
5. exp Adrenal Gland Neoplasms/ or exp Adrenal Cortex/ or adrenal.mp. (209667)
6. 4 and 5 (2426)
7. 1 and 6 (46)
8. 1 and 4 (2217)
9. exp Treatment Outcome/ (850345)
10. 8 and 9 (553)
11. limit 10 to (english language and humans and yr="1997 -Current") (498)

Appendix 2 - Excluded Papers

Papers describing fewer than four patients

- Abdel-Raheem MM, Potti A, Becker WK, et al., Late adrenal metastasis in operable non-small cell lung carcinoma, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2002;25:81–83.
- Ayabe H, Tsuji H, Hara S, et al., Surgical management of adrenal metastasis from bronchogenic carcinoma, *J Surg Oncol*, 1995;58:149–54.
- De Perrot M, Licker M, Robert J, Spiliopoulos A, Long-term survival after surgical resection of bronchogenic carcinoma and adrenal metastasis, *Ann Thorac Surg*, 1999;68:1084–5.
- Henniford B, Arca M, Walsh R, Gill I, Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for cancer, *Sem Surg Oncol*, 1999;16:293–306.
- Khan A, Mehta P, Zusag T, et al., Long term disease free survival resulting from combined modality management of patients presenting with oligometastatic, non-small cell lung carcinoma, *Radiother Oncol*, 2006;81:163–7.
- Kirsch A, Oz M, Stoopler M, et al., Operative management of adrenal metastases from lung carcinoma, *Urology*, 1993;42:716–9.
- Miyaji N, Miki T, Itoh Y, et al., Radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastasis from lung cancer: Report of three cases, *Radiat Med*, 1999;17:71–5.
- Rau K, Liaw C, Chang C, Lee K, Successful surgical treatment of solitary adrenal metastases from non-small cell lung cancer: Case report, *Chang Gung Med J*, 1999;22:282–6.
- Raviv G, Klein E, Yellin A., et al., Surgical treatment of solitary adrenal metastases from lung carcinoma, *J Surg Oncol*, 1990;43:123–4.
- Twomey P, Montgomery C, Clark O, Successful treatment of adrenal metastases from large cell carcinoma of the lung, *JAMA*, 1982;248:581–3.
- Urschel J, Finley R, Takita H, Long-term survival after bilateral adrenalectomy for metastatic lung cancer, *Chest*, 1997;112:848–9.

Outcomes of NSCLC primary and/or adrenal metastasis could not be abstracted

- Adler J, Mack E, Chen H, Equal oncologic results for laparoscopic and open resection of adrenal metastases, *J Surg Res*, 2007;140:159–64.
- Barney B, Olivier K, Macdonald O, et al., Clinical outcomes and dosimetric considerations using stereotactic body radiotherapy for abdominopelvic tumours, *Am J Clin Oncol*, 2012;35:537–42.
- Casamassima F, Livi L, Masciullo S, et al., Stereotactic radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastases: University of Florence experience, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2012;82:919–23.
- Castillo O, Vitagliano G, Kerkebe M, et al., Laparoscopic adrenalectomy for suspected metastasis of adrenal glands: Our experience, *Urology*, 2007;69:637–41.
- Chawla S, Chen Y, Katz AW, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy for treatment of adrenal metastases, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2009;75:71–5.
- Cheruvu P, Metcalfe S, Metcalfe J, et al., Comparison of outcomes in patients with stage III versus limited stage IV non-small cell lung cancer, *Radiat Oncol*, 2011;6:80.
- Downey R, Ng K, Kris M, et al., A phase II trial of chemotherapy and surgery for non-small cell lung cancer patients with a synchronous solitary metastasis, *Lung Cancer*, 2002;38:193–7.
- Griffioen G, Toguri D, Dahele M, et al., Radical treatment of synchronous oligometastatic non-small cell lung carcinoma: Patient outcomes and prognostic factors, *Lung Cancer*, 2013;82:95–102.
- Hasselle M, Haraf D, Rusthoven K, et al., Hypofractionated image-guided radiation therapy for patients with limited volume metastatic non-small cell lung cancer, *J Thorac Oncol*, 2012;7:376–81.
- Hwang E, Hwang I, Jung S, et al., Prognostic factors for recurrence-free and overall survival after adrenalectomy for metastatic carcinoma: A retrospective cohort pilot study, *BMC Urol*, 2014;14:41.
- Inoue T, Katoh N, Aoyama H, et al., Clinical outcomes of stereotactic brain and/or body radiotherapy for patients with oligometastatic lesions, *Jpn J Clin Oncol*, 2010;40:788–94.
- Kebebew E, Siperstein A, Clark O, Duh Q, Results of laparoscopic adrenalectomy for suspected and unsuspected malignant adrenal neoplasms, *Arch Surg*, 2002;137:948–53.
- Kim S, Brennan M, Russo P, et al., The role of surgery in the treatment of clinically isolated adrenal metastasis, *Cancer*, 1998;82:389–94.
- Lo C, van Heerden J, Soreide J, et al., Adrenalectomy for metastatic disease to the adrenal glands, *Br J Surg*, 1996;83:528–31.
- Milano M, Philip A, Okunieff P, Analysis of patients with oligometastases undergoing two or more curative-intent stereotactic radiotherapy courses, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2009;73:832–7.
- Milano M, Katz A, Schell M, et al., Descriptive analysis of oligometastatic lesions treated with curative-intent stereotactic body radiotherapy, *Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys*, 2008;72:1516–22.
- Moinzadeh A, Gill I, Laparoscopic radical adrenalectomy for malignancy in 31 patients, *J Urol*, 2005;173:519–25.
- Paul C, Virgo K, Wade T, et al., Adrenalectomy for isolated adrenal metastases from non-adrenal cancer, *Int J Oncol*, 2000;17:181–7.
- Salama JK, Hasselle M, Chmura S, et al., Stereotactic body radiotherapy for multisite extracranial oligometastases: Final report of a dose escalation trial in patients with 1 to 5 sites of metastatic disease, *Cancer*, 2012;118:2962–70.
- Scorsetti M, Alongi F, Filippi A, et al., Long-term local control achieved after hypofractionated stereotactic body radiotherapy for adrenal gland metastases: A retrospective analysis of 34 patients, *Acta Oncol*, 2012;51:618–23.
- Torok J, Wegner RE, Burton SA, Heron DE, Stereotactic body radiation therapy for adrenal metastases: a retrospective review of a noninvasive therapeutic strategy, *Futur Oncol*, 2011;7:145–51.
- Valeri A, Bergamini C, Tozzi F, et al., A multicenter study on the surgical management of metastatic disease to adrenal glands, *J Surg Oncol*, 2011;103:400–5. *Excluded because reported outcomes included 5 NSCLC patients whose lesions were pathologically confirmed as adenoma at resection.*
- Zheng Q, Zhang G, Zhang Y, Guo Y, Adrenalectomy may increase survival of patients with adrenal metastases, *Oncol Lett*, 2012;3:917–20.

Patients included in an updated report (More recent paper used)

- Burt M, Treatment of the solitary brain or adrenal metastasis in patients with non-small cell lung cancer, 513–521. Included in Luketich and Burt 1996³
- Porte H, Roumillac D, Graziana JP, et al., Adrenalectomy for a solitary adrenal metastases from lung cancer, *Ann Thoracic Surg*, 1998; 65:331-5. Included in: Porte 2001.
- Sarela A, Murphy I, Coit D, et al., Metastasis to the adrenal gland: The emerging role of laparoscopic surgery, *Ann Surg Oncol*, 2003;10:1191-6. Included in: Strong 2007.